

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,441	06/11/2001	Mohammed Javed Absar	851663.424US	8038
7	590 06/29/2005		EXAM	INER
Seed Intellectual Property Law Group FLANDERS, A			ANDREW C	
Suite 6300 701 Fifth Aven	iue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Seattle, WA	98104-7092		2644	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany		141	ABSAR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examine	er .	Art Unit				
	· ·	C. Flanders	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this comr Period for Reply	nunication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the provi- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the period for reply specified above is less than thi thing the period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecommunication. rty (30) days, a reply within the st im statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the ap ths after the mailing date of this o	vent, however, may a reply be tim atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONED	ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>16 May 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condit	ion for allowance excep	t for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits i	s			
closed in accordance with the pr	actice under <i>Ex parte</i> C	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,12,13,23 and 24</u> is/s	•						
7) Claim(s) 3-11,14-22,25 and 26 is							
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to b	the Examiner.		-				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any		<u>-</u>	, i				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu	<u> </u>	• • • •	```	(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examiner, r	Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a cla a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None c		nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the prior		• •	<del></del>				
·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Oce the attached detailed Office a	onon for a list of the Cel	шва сорівз поствовіче	u.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		•			
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144</li> </ol>		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	,,,				

Application/Control Number: 09/830,441

Art Unit: 2644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 7 and 8 of the remarks section filed 16 May 2005, with respect to Claims 1 – 26 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

The rejection of Claims 1 – 26 have been withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulos (U.S. Patent 6,208,671) in view of Muwafi (U.S. Patent 5,787,025).

Regarding Claims 1, 12 and 23, Paulos discloses:

A method of coding digital audio data (abstract) comprising:

a transform encoding process having plural levels of computation precision (i.e. sample rate conversion; abstract; sample rate conversion inherently takes one digital signal which has been previously encoded at a given sample rate and converts it into a second, different sample rate), wherein the transform encoding process includes:

a first computation stage involving arithmetic operations in transforming the digital audio signal data into intermediate audio data; using a first level of computational

precision (i.e. a compact disc recording with a 48kHz sample rate; col. 1 lines 15 – 25, a compact disc recording with a 48kHz sampling rate inherently has had audio data transformed from the analog domain into the digital domain using a sampling process);

a second computation stage involving arithmetic operations in transforming the intermediate audio data into coded audio data using a second level of computation precision different than the first level of computational precision (i.e. a sample rate converter converts a digital signal having a first sample rate to a substantially similar digital signal having a second sample rate; col. 1 lines 12 – 15);

Paulos doesn't explicitly disclose wherein the transform encoding process is in accordance with the AC-3 Digital Audio Compression Standard or implementing this process on a fixed point digital signal processor.

However, Paulos discloses that AC-3 audio may have sample rates of 44.1 kHz; col. 1 lines 20 - 25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Paulos' sample rate converter to convert the CD audio at 48 kHz into AC-3 audio at 44.1 kHz. One would have been motivated to do so to allow the seamless processing of two digital signals operating a two different sampling rates; Paulos col. 1 lines 15 – 17.

Furthermore, the modification of Paulos does not explicitly disclose implementing this process on a fixed point digital signal processor.

Muwafi discloses implementing this process on a fixed point digital signal processor (i.e. a signal processing circuit for performing single and double precision

Application/Control Number: 09/830,441 Page 4

Art Unit: 2644

operations; col. 1 lines 5 -15; in which the invention is desirably implemented in a DSP for use in communications operations which received digitized audio; col. 3 lines 1-7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the modification of Paulo on a digital signal processor such as the one disclosed by Muwafi. One would have been motivated to do so to more efficiently processes the audio signals; see Muwafi col. 3 lines 36 – 53.

Regarding Claims 2, 13 and 24, in addition to the elements stated above regarding claims 1, 12 and 23, Muwafi discloses:

wherein the digital signal processor comprises a 16-bit digital signal processor which is capable of single (16-bit) precision computations and double (32-bit) computations (i.e. the circuit operates in single or double precision mode; col. 1 lines 5 – 15).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 - 11, 14 - 22, and 25 - 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew C. Flanders whose telephone number is (571) 272-7516. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

acf

VIVIAN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600